LUTON TOWN v. ILFOI Played at Luton on Monday. Result: ILFORD. 1 gor Luton Town ... The Luton team was identical to that which took the field against Clapton on Satural, and the Ilford team was as follows:— Cosburn ( coal).

J. H. Forter.

Cra Drummond. Regan. Linard. Graham. King. Craig. E. C. Porter. Thompson. Referee, Mr. T. Saywell (Chatham); linesmen, Messrs. E. W. alsh (Lyston), and E. Markland (Ilford).

It is dat ever two months ago since Luton made such a exhibition of themselves at Ilford, and the to a Monday had been eagerly looked forward to a Strawpolis as a means of enabling the Lutonians avenge the licking they received in the first match.

The Mordians on the other hand, fresh from their victories over Clapton and Chatham, came down with the full assurance of adding one if not two more points to their total in the League tist. No Lutonian would have dreamed that they had any earthly chance of doing either one or the other, and that they succeeded in accomplishing the least ambitious part of the task they had set themselves does seem inexplicable.

A draw on our own ground with Ilford! Goodness gracious, what are we coming to? We shall be receiving a challenge from St. Albans next to settle question of superiority with them. It sounds preposterous, but if we keep on at the rate we are going now there wouldn't be so much in it after all.

indifferent displays Luton have given a good many indifferent displays this season, but never one to beat that of Monday. It was perfectly pitiable to see how hopelessly disorganised they were. At one time, it was said that Luton's strong point was their combination. What the strong point is now it would be difficult to say.

Where their weakness lay on Monday was much more capable of explanation. It was in the half-back division. This has been noticeable before, but never so palpable as on this afternoon. "Where are the half-backs?" was the question asked again and again, as the the ball was returned over the heads of the Luton forwards when they were going for the Hford god!

And there was only too much reason for the question I have before called attention to the gap between our first and second lines, but I must confess to the source of weakness, which this has been to the team never presented itself so forcibly to me as it has done in the last two or three matches.

But on Monday, with the exception of a few long shots put in by Watkins towards the finish of the game—when he did play up very finely—the half-backs took absolutely no part whatever in the attack. They worked hard enough in defence, but then something more than that is expected of them.

Time after time, the Luton forwards got in front of the Ilford goal, but the attacks were robbed of all their force because the half-backs remained at the other end of the field. Had the halves been in their places, the ball would have been returned into goal, when the forwards were dispossessed, but as it was the Ilfordians had any amount of free kicks in the centre of the field.

Saturday's match made it tolerably clear that lack of concentration in attack is the great failing of the Luton team, and Monday's game proved it to demonstration. The forwards do not exhibit the good understanding they once did, and though they make a long pull, and a strong pull, they do not pull long pull, altogether.

Now as to the match. The Hfordians were not as strongly represented as at the first meeting the most noticeable absentee being T. N. Perkins, the Cambridge University man, who so materially helped the Essex men to win, the only time he played for them. Gallon, too, was another absentee, but it seemed likely that the team would be strengthesed by the inclusion of Charlie McGahey, who had come down to take J. H. Porter's place at back.

With the "punctuality" characteristic of amateur teams, Ilford did not put in an appearance till four o'clock, the time fixed for the kick-off, and it was nearly 25 minutes after the hour before they stepped into the arena. This was very annoying to the spectators, as so many of them had with great difficulty snatched a short time from business in order to see the match.

Every effort was made to induce the Hfordi come on sooner. The referee whistled, and fir and then another of the Luton Committee went them to hurry up, while as a last resort, Mr. So himself went to the pavilion to fetch them, as he not get them on the ground by any other means. the Ilfordians to first one nt to ask Saywell

Graham at last set the ball rolling, Luton having in consequence of McCahey not having brought his togs. As it appears he cannot play unless in full regimentals, his place eventually had to be taken by I. H. Porter, the gentleman who was originally chosen to partner Drummond.

Thord were the first to get down, and Bee had to save from a soft one. Fouls against Watkins and Craig respectively led to nothing particularly exciting, and then a splendid centre by Gallacher, who ran round J. H. Porter in very pretty style,; evoked enthusiasm. Jack forced a corner, and placing beautifully from the flag-staff, Cosburn had to punch out. out.

Gallacher then secured another corner, and under-taking this himself, Prentice received and headed in finely, Cosburn saving by steering over the bar, Gallacher placed again, and the forwards sticking well together, Prentice headed in grandly, giving Cosburn no chance whatever. A goal within five minutes looked decidedly promising, but success must have got into the heads of the Luton players, for after this they seemed to fall all to pieces, the half-backs playing in one part of the field, the forwards in another, and McGwen trying to combine the duties of back and half-back, and goodness knows

duties at besi Play for some time was uneventful, and beyond a little mild excitement arising from free kicks, of which there were plenty, there was nothing to call for notice. From a throw-in M'Crindle made a hot shot, but Galbraith accidentally got in the way, or rather could not get out of it, and the ball went behind off him.

Edgar Porter was responsible for a smart run, managing to get by McDwen. Collins obliged him with a corner, from which McDwen cleared. Soon afterwards Graham obtaining from a throw-in, put a clinking shot, which Bee just tipped over the bar. Regan placed from the corner, and someone sent in a hot shot, the ball hitting the cross-bar and rebounding into play.

Bee again had to save from a good 'un, and hands against Howe close in did not improve matters, but once more McEven cleared. At this juncture the spectators, to infuse a little life into the game, resorted to the expedient of cheering the Hordians. It may have eased the feelings of the shouters, but it altogether failed in its effect on the players.

Things dragged wearily on, until Gallacher made affairs a bit livelier with a splendid centre, from which Jack got in a beauty, J. H. Porter saving by heading over the bar, Jack took the corner kick, and Prentice receiving from another middle by Gallacher, headed just by the post. The next item of interest was a pretty piece of play by the Luton right winger, but it was unfruitful, and the call of half-time found Luton still leading by a solitary goal.

Up to this point the play of Luton had been dread-fully disappointing, but the spectators flattered them-selves that amends would be made in the second half. Alas, the expected inprovement did not take place, the Reds continuing at sixes and sevens right up to the

After the interval, play for a time ruled very tame, and then Finlaysen and Gallacher made a good run, the latter getting in a splendid shot, which Cosburn skilfolly negotiated. A little later a flukey free kick by Drunmond gave Jack a clear goal, but the shot was a weak one, and the custodian saved, while a foul against Prentice relieved the pressure.

Hford now responded, Edgar Porter doing the travelling, and a foul against Howe close up gave Regan and popertunity. He kicked hard, and the ball west through off M'Crindle. The spectators cheered, feeling it only wanted this reverse to put Luton on their mettle, but they were doomed to disappointment, the play continuing to be of a straggling nature.

A capital centre by Gallacher Prentice headed just the upright, and then Gallacher tried a shot on his n account, Cosburn having to fist out. Another all of uninteresting play followed, and then Lutor glat to have taken the lead again, Prentice being cod in possession by Gallacher, and having the goal

His shot was a tame one, and Cosburn turned it round the post. The corner was followed by a second, which was not improved upon. Shortly afterwards Drummond had to save from a good attempt by Watkins, and then Gallacher got in a magnificent shot, the ball crashing up against the post and shaking the whole framework.

now pressed much more vigorously, Watkins sly showing up with some fine work, but the s, knowing it was getting near time, fought ly hard to keep Luton out, and in this they honours still being easy when Mr. Saywell

ought to have knocked them into smithereens. Drummond especially played a champion game, and his efforts were loudly applauded, while Cosburn's goalkicks were a marvel.

As to the Luton men I think perhaps it will be best not to particularise. One or two of them played decent football, but the rest gave a very poor sort of

exhibition, the like of which I trust it may never be my lot to see again.