The Southern League.

## LUTON v. WATFORD.

Played at Watford on Good Friday. Result: **Watford** 3 goals. \*

The teams were as follow:

The teams were as follow: Luton-Lindssy; Turner and McEwen; F. Hawkes, White and R. Hawkes; Eaton, Ross, Lamberton, Moody, and Barnes.

Watford-Biggar; Cother and Brooks; Bademan, McCuriney, and Richardson; Matt Broughton. Goodall, Eames, Turner, and

Tecnant.

Referee, Mr. Bailey (Leicester). If there were any doubt as to the enthusiasm for football which prevails in Luton, the scene at the station on Good Friday would have speedily dispelled it. The crow that station attraction of the chapter excurs the way-of such a discussion as to necessitate extra trains being put on, and at the intermediate stations the platforms were crowded with prespective spectators.

The weather was far from favourable, rain falling slightly about an hour before the match, but this fact did not prevent the spectators taking anghtly about an hour before the match, but this fact did not prevent the spectators turning up in force, and there would be fully 7,000 present when the ball was set in motion. The lation crowd made their presence known cres of "Play up the lads in blue" and "Good old Lutum" resounding from all parts of the field.

This, however, was at the start, and unfortunately did not bring about the result hoped for. A defeat of three goals to nil needs some explanation, and to say that this result was not a good orietion of the game would hardly be a fair description. If I were asked to give a reason for Luton's defeat, I should ascribe it to three things. Firstly, from a Watford standpoint, the game was won by Biggar in goal Secondly, Luton's forwards were not to finish was with Watford.

In attain this I do not desire to doors Web.

In stating this, I do not desire to deery Wat-lord's victory. They played hard and were fully entitled to their win, though I should imagine the most ardent partisan of their team would admit that on Friday's form they were nothing like three goals better than Luton.

The game was in many senses a peculiar one. To look at it from a goalkeeper's stand-point. Biggar had a day out-he could de nothing wrong; whilst Landsay was just as un incky. Biggar, I should say, stopped from twenty to thirty shots, and though he played a great game, he was on more than one occasion extremely locky to keep the ball out. To quote an old description, wherever the ball happened to be there was Biggar.

On the other hand, Lindsay was not called upon to save mere than eight good shots, and yet three went through. One of these was a penalty, and the other two were shots which nine times out of ten would beat the best goal-

keeper The first quarter of the game was contested at a ding-dong pace, and it was evident from the start that Watford were not going to allow Luton to get the much coveded two posts of the many could prove the provided two posts of the provided at the provided

The opening stages were fairly even, though cames ought to have put his side ahead early on, as he had an excellent opportunity about five yards away from goal. Soon after this uncident Turner, of Watford, had to retire, but he was not absent for long. The first goal came after twenty minutes possible the first goal of the control of

Luton pressed strongly after this reverse, but were greatly handicapped by Barnes being interest of the property of the proper

Certainly the fall was the result of a trip, the only question being whether it was accidental or deliberate. Evidently the referee thought the latter, and of course in that case he had no alternative but to award a penalty. McCatney took the kick and made no mistake. Two goals for Watford in 25 minutes play caused the Luton spectators to maintain a gloomy silence.

Luton for a time pressed strongly, and Biggar was called upon to save a long one which he tipped over the bar. Biggar at this stage showed good custodianship, saving three times in quick vaccession. One of these, from Ross, was a very good attempt. Shot after alord theor rained in upon Biggar, but his bulky form was always in the way.

At the other end Turner caused Tennant to put the bottom of the caused Tennant to put the bottom of the caused Tennant to put the caused Tennant to put the caused Tennant Turner and the caused Tennant to good work by Johnny Goodall, whereas, on the day's play, quite the best for hot shot in a rather lucky fashion, the hot with the total the the caused the cause

Watterd had much the better of the second fulf, which was nothing like so interesting as the first moiety water made many desperator trushes, but waterdians were now satisfied with the number of goals, and devoted their divist to keep will do "houted the home specialty with the water division of the second water will do "houted the home specialty was followed to the total part of the water division was followed to the total part of the water darks, who were not particular where the ball went so long as it was got awar.

The Luion forwards at times made desperate rushes, but when they were getting dangerous they were invariably brought to get by their weighter opponents, who were the top the transport of the superior physique. In this concetion Badard and certainly some of his rocking Barne, and certainly some of his reserve vigour. There was one incident in the second hill which might have given Watford another, goal. Lindsay, in saving a shot, alrost let the leather slip through his legs, but the opposing forwards were not quick enough to take advantage.

Waitord were of course, highly delighted at their victory. During their association with the League they have beaten Lutton on one preon their part is not to be wondered at. Their previous victory was in the memorable match when Lindsay figured in the Luton team, and it will be remembered how, owing to his balley acrocked early in the game, he was unable to John Govard I. John Govard II. John Govard I. John Govard I. John Govard I. John Govard I. John Govard II. John Govard III. John Govard II. John Govard II. John Govard II. John Govard II. John Govard III. John Govard II. John Govard III. John Govard III. John Govard III. John Govard I

phen Goodall, in his report to a London paper, spec. "On the play we deserved our win. although the three-goal margin shows us in a three too favourable light, but we had at fore and all gave a fine exhibition for Watford, notably Biggar. Brooks, Cother, Badenoch, and Brooghton. It is indeed hard to understand how Luton come to be in the position of strugglers to retain their place in the Southernesleves a smart all round combination, with McKwen, Bob Hawkes, and Ross shining lights."