BR NT ORD v. LUTON. Played at Griffin Park on Saturday. Result:— Breniford nil.

of valicitiety address to their supporters, and indicate from the wear year character of the recommendation of the second time the second to the sec

bogs, while Mardy and Ton Pestra were composed of cilibre and herear, with no sail, and more of the composition of the composition of the other dangerous matter. Appasently Trebards better than when Luton suppased there, for no letter than when Luton suppased there, for no Luton speaks and the composition were Lucaus state had been every little less that this than the composition of the composition of the desired of the composition of men had pessed to the composition of the composition of men had pessed that wages at the proper time, man had pessed that wages at the proper time,

man had received his wages at the proper time, which had not always been the case in some previous seasons, while the profit from the programmes themselves had reached a record and amounted to over £50, a figure which seems very insignificant beside that of their near neighbours at Stamford Bridge, where for several years you whe profits have gone over £1,000.



make for strength, or Luton would have made promotion certain on Saturday. Simms was rather nonplessed at times by the manner Fells played the McCrncken same by throwing his opponents offside, and did not get within shooting range quite as often as he usually does. Stevens got a knock early in the game and also a pasty cut near the eye, and was pot so good as he has been in several matches this season. although he never gave un for want of trying. Wilkie did not finish well except very occasion. ally but he part serves a 6th south chatre late in the game, which might have given Luton the victory if Brewis had shot a trifle harder. But the miss of the match on the Laton side was in the first half. Wileman sending the ball over the har when any Lutonian present would have been prepared to lay heavy odds on the Luton inside right scoring from a pass by Stevens, who had been allowed to go on from what seemed an offside position. Therefore, it would have been a bit rough on the Bees if the centre had been converted. Fells was the better back of the Brentford pair, and he tackled and kicked in fine style, although his partner also played well. Barclay put in almost as many shots as any of his forwards, while Bentley and McGovern never gave the Luton forwards the least hit of rone Forward the Brentford line was pretty much on a par with Luten, although the visiting vanguard did not possess quite so dangerous a player as Hendren. On the opposite wing Kent did good work, but was not so well fed as his comrade at outside left. Simons, working hard to get through, had the best

The alterations in the front rank did not

chances in front of goal, and once actually headed over the bar from two wards range, with Mitchell onic helpless. Chapman was not often in evidence, and does not appear to be doing quite so well as earlier in the season. At the finish the Brentrote officials expressed themselves as quite satisfied their chance was come, and wished Tation the head of luck in the