FROM THE FOOTBALL FIELD. POINTS ABOUT PLAY AND PLAYERS
BY "VIGILANT." TOWN FORWARDS AGAIN FAIL. Gilliogham's display on the Luton Town ground a fortnight ago raised some hopes that they would be one of the team from which

the Blues would "bag" all the four Eouthern the game would be a state of the state and awsken-ing when the Kentish team went to Wales and took two coints out of Swanses, and on Wednesday they proved their Welsh success was no flash in the pan by exactly reversing the result of their visit to Luton. They were clearly the better all-round side, but they

largely owed their 2-0 victory to their centreforward, Wood, who, as at Luton proved him-self porsessed of a degree of enterprise contrasting strangely with the methods of the Blues front line, and was a conspicuous success. He opened the avoring after 20 minutes with a splendid cross drive which always

had Summers beaten and scored again from a penalty awarded for an alleged foul by Dunn, which the Luton players warmly contested. Apart from these successes he never missed a chance of shooting, and went very near perceming the hat-trick, a thumping drive sitting the cross-bar, while another was only toot out by Summers with great difficulty.

Gillingham had only one change from the ide beaten at Luton. Lee came in at centrehalf, and he was a great acquisition, sharing with Lodie the honours of the home defence. Letton defence was as at Exeter. Summers again did well, Elvey and Dunn were solid backs, with Dick the best on the field, and Urwin was the pick of three hard-working halves, although Rutherford was cather more

in the picture in the second half, and came Grimes was the only forward who did not play against Gillingham in the previous game, but the fine was reorganised. Will ame portnered Grimes, and Ros was with Hemman with Simms in the centre. The re-

errangement brought little or no improvement, In midfield there was as much good combina-tion among the Blues as among the homesters, but in front of goal the Blues were most weak,

their centreing was far from accurate. Simms speaks for itself that the Gillingham goalie

and even, when in the second half they had most of the game they hardly ever looked like scoring. Both Grimes and Hensman showed pace, but their play lacked forcefulness, and was only about twice seriously fested

ANOTHER POINT LOST AT HOME Luton gave up another point at home on Saturday to one of their old Welch rivals in the Southern League second division. As with Swanses, it was a perilously near thing to giv-ing up the two and probably not one of the 6.000 apertators will suggest Cardiff gained

mything more than their display entitled Reorganisation was again the keynote of the Letter attack. This time it was the turn of imms to be dropped for a trial in a different position with the Reserves, and Williams was entrusied with the centro berth. Hensman also fell out to make way for Sid Hoar, who

but was able to get leave to make his first appearance. It was a near go for yet another ange, as Grimes got into a train at Hitchin that did not stop at Hatfield, and was carried on to London. Fred Bates was getting ready to turn out, although injured, when Grimes reached the ground just in time. The defence whe as per usual except that Parker and Butherford changed places. Six of the Cardiff players were with them when Luton last met

the City, and Brittan is the old Northamaton They made two forward changes in Barnett who played against Reading, and avies, a local amateur making his first Southern League appearance. Appended is the full list of players: -Luton-Summers Elvey, Dunn: Urwin, Parker Rutherford; Grimes, Tomlinson,

Williams, Roe, Hoar. Harvey, Smith, Keenor; Davies, Grimshaw, Devlin, Barnett, Evans. Referee Mr. R. R. Crump, London. The first half was goalless, but in no sense dull. At half-time some of the visiting players

were complaining of the length of the grass and the handicap it was after the morning's showers. "It almost wants a steam roller to push the ball through it," said one, and that the ball was heavy was demonstrated by the fact that during the game Urwin and one of the Cardiff halves were laid out like log-

through he ding away from hefty kicks. Still. there was no want of healthy, earnest football. conducted at a well-sustained pace through out and remarkably free from questionable saction. There was not much artistic finesse ampir because the defenders would not allow

Neither side could claim any ority in the end to end enty of vim and them at suc Ikcepers bevlin gave Sur ared the at/ocking loar who frequently came in finest of chances to Roe, who, with s out d had to throw away any the ball so smartly that K dent upon Brittan This was the nearest thing of Cardiff end ow escape in the rs failed to p and Dunn b e goal, and one of them man was a brillian line right

It had taken Luton 25 minutes to draw but Cardiff then became the more prominent, and in eight minutes were ahead again. Grimshaw smartly dashed through from the edge of the penalty area and scored pretty easily in the far corner of the net. Whether because he was suspected of letting Grimshaw through, or because he was wanted for a last attacking effort, Hoar then went back to his old place. Whatever the reason the change paid. Luton kept Cardiff on the defence, and the ball bobbed backwards and forwards about the goal mouth until Williams amartly seized on a chance, and through a crowd of players drove a great shot well out of Kershaw's reach. This was in the last minute, and so the final score was :-Luton 2, Cardiff City 2. No little credit is due to the homesters for came uphill fight in the second half, But still this constant loss of points at home is disappointing, and it is time the tide was turned. According to the club programme, "the directors intend to find a winning team, and experiments will continue until they succeed," but the experiment everyone is waiting to see is with new forward talent. The one outstanding success was the new talent introduced, if Sid Hear can be so described. His centreing suggested some want of practice, but he was quite the cleverest and reest resourceful of the home forwards, and Cardiff appreciated the advantage it was to them when he was taken from the forward line to deputite at back. Williams was an improvement at centre, and showed promise of developing into gingering faroe with a little perseverance. In other respects the forwards were as disappointing as ever. Grimes was feeble, Ros does not seem at all happy on the left, and Tomlinson was no more than moderate. The shuffing of Parker and Rutherford was not the success antisripated. Parker was be no means a failure in his new position, but he compared unfavourably with his two comradra They played fine spoiling foctball, and set the forward a good example by their robust dhah but they do not give the front men the sup port they might by judicious feeding. Car diff's middle line did much better in thi respect and this, coupled with the go-aheadash and smart shooting of their inside for wards, made their attack immeasurable superior to the homesters. All the backs we es solid as rocks, with Elvey and Brittan th Donn played finely until he wa "crocked." and, after losing him, Elvev we really a marvel. Nothing could be said to the

discredit of either goalkeeper.