FOOTBALL NOTES

TOWN'S LAST MATCH. GILLINGHAM

Nil. Nil.

LUTON TOWN

GILLINGHAM.— Branfield; Robertson, Sissons; Thompson, Waugh, Needhau; Battiste, Howard, Wood, Ball, Wright, LUTON TOWN.—Bui'ay; Hull, Tirrell; Molyneus, Parker, Waish; Hoar, Butcher, Simus, Mathieson, Bookman,

"Gilda" writes : -

"Gilis" writes:—
Luton Town found a very different gide from that which got so soundly thrushed at Luton at Christinastide, and will probably agree that if the season had a few more weeks to ran, Gillingham would not be the woolen spoonists. For the greater part of the first half boton were kept on the defensive, for their forwards and halves showed little ability to make headway against a virile defense and a fast forward line. Unfortunately for Gillingham, the home forwards were not so good in front of goal as they were in midfield, or they must have taken

or they must have taken

AN AMPLE REVENGI:
for the defeat they sustained at Luton. The second hafe enabled us to get occasional glimpses of the real Luton forwards, but at no time did they appear particularly auxious to get goals, and before the end Gillingham made despirate efforts to get through, but the forwards made some nost remarkable misses, and with Bailey in good form the points were shared.

In the onening half, Battiste and Wright were often getting through Luton's defence, and some excellent chances were served up to the inside men, but when they did get in a shot they found Bailey both agile and resourceful. Beokuma and Hoar were very troublesome, but Waugh kept a close watch on Simms and prevented him getting in many shots. Butcher and Mathieson, each in his own way, were frequently causing trouble, and on one occasion there was the supervision of the ways. sion there was

A KEEN STRUGGLE

A KIEN STRUGGLE in the home goal mouth, when the visiting inside forwards got Gillingham's defence that tagge. In another instance Simulation of the state of the

val all right.

Whether or not anything was said to the Luton halves during the interval I know not, but they played much better in the second half, and the home forwards found progress very difficult. On the other hand, Luton's line still failed to shake off the harassing attentions of the Gillingham middle men, Waugh especially playing a great same accepted they. great game against three

LIVELY AND ELUSIVE

opponents. Branfield had more to do, and he saved good shots from Mathieson and Simms, while Butcher once got in a de-ceifful shot that Branfield cleverly covered. The home side made a big effort in the

Branfield was safe, as usual, and Robert son and Sissons again gave a good display, kicking finely and tackling with spirit. Waugh was the pick of the halves, and he let Simms have

NO PEACE
from the start to the end. He was well finaked, although Needham found Hoar a

wily customer. The home forwards finished very badly, although their mid field work was good, and Battiste and

closing stages, but they could not beat down the stalwart Luton defence, and the

end cams without a goal.

Wright were the pick.

Bailey kept goal splendidly for Luton, and ranks with the best custodians seen at Gillingham. His backs were not there oughly reliable. Hull missed on several occasions while Tirrell took matters rather too coolly against such a tearaway fellow as Wood. The halves were very poor in the fit half, and left too big a share of the work to the defence. Molyneux and Parker afterwards showed glimpess of exceptional

disappointing for long periods, but now and then they proved that they could play bright footbalt, and that they were fast and tricky. Hear and Butcher were the better wing, and they showed much nices understanding than the left wing, where Bookman got little attention from his own men. Mathieson's cleverness elicited and miration until it was overdone, but Simmi was very closely watched and half few

cleverness, and Walsh had the genius of hard work. The forwards were equally