SIX SCORED IN FIRST HALF.

Millwall Trounce Luton Town.

(By "CRUSADER.")

since that eventful day when yell with a brand-new defence, they to Swinden and returned defeated by yeal to see that the second one, in the opening match of 130.21, may clutton Town suffered a reposition of the second one of the second of

amother place I have given versions the principal mistakes, e-made, exd, at very least, two goals frr a penalty very least, two goals frr a penalty sa wes very bad decisions, in each of Graham was the alleged culprit, and each bad the sympathy of fair-decisions and the press seats e strong body of Milwall supporters, indicated the penalty former Milwall player, and quently they were criticising the decisions of the referee against Luton, and in penalty kicks were broadly consended as bad.

\* \* \* \*

Moreover, if the character of the same as a syed by the home side had been against the Luton teams we have lad since the would have been as a syed by the would have been against the same as a system of the same and there were allowed and the same and t

Before the game had been in progress enimutes the Luton players were pretty to rinced that the edds against them did consist wholly in the superior phyque and skill of the home players. When a fofficial allows to go unpunished such a impudent foul as was perpetrated upon by the Millwall back. Fort, who harged Love in the back so violently that is not the back so violently that could with such force under the was reind off unconscious, and id not fully cover until after the game, it is no enuragement to a visit team.

Moreover the official adjudged that

Moreover the official adjudged that rahen had handled when the ball simply that the half simply the him, and he made no attempt what to handle or hit the ball; and when a awarded a penalty kick because in a roulder to shoulder charge a home player the dust, it appeared that not only be been players, but the Millwall team less were disgusted, for Amos, who took oth penalty kicks, did not seem to outly whether he scored or not, and urdy made no attempt to prevent a goal here were numerous foul charges and the referee overlooked, though I do not say that the referee overlooked, though I do not say that the home players were always the tong-doers.

If Luton Town's play was spineless the ficial's display just about matched it. When the property of the proper

The Town made several changes in the team, and the difference in the abilities of the players of the two sides was not to the players of the two sides was not not the players of the two sides was not not players of the two sides was not not players of the two sides was not not players. The players of the

That was frankly admitted by their best sends, many of whom sympathised with and the sends and the sends are sends and the sends are sends as the sends are sends as the sends

Purdy has done better: Till, except for his old tendency to hold on too long, did splendid work it times, and over and over again made timely tackles that saved his lines. Graham were played well, and Millar did useful with the middle line, but this department with the middle line house to be a superior to be a

MILLWALL - Fox: Fort Hill; Amos, Gorem, Bryant; Chance, Moule, Landells, Dillimore, Gore, LUTON.—Purdy; Till, Graham; Anderson, Richards, Millar; Moffat, Thomson, Love, Shankly, Thompson.

MILLWALL ATHLETIC LUTON TOWN Referee: Mr. H. E. Gray, London.