WATFORD AT THEIR WORST LUTON GIVE LESSONS IN at Luton can never have been vantage and the only man

did not reach double figures was that Hol-The much overworked word " wond fully tustified in Holland's case. He shots from all angles and at point blank range shots that would find the net nine times out of ten. His performance was the only bright leature of Watford's drab Apart from a bright start when James just failed to score. Watford were content to play second fiddle, always lagging far behind their more skilful and more imaginative opponents. In the closing stages, Luton did pretty much as they wished and indulged in fancy tactica, emphasising Watford's weakness I do not wish to detract from the merit of Luton's success. After all a team can play only as well as their opponents will permit, tainly gave Watford precious little scope. Luton played good football and the front lines I have seen this seeson we

more famous clubs. Every man jack did his share, but M'Nestry the maide right. Yardley, in the middle, and Rennie stond out. All shot with deadly accurscy, and what is more they did not wait for ideal position before attempting to take the agile Molland unawares. They made their own openings when McGinnigle (the best half on the Seld), Praser and Chark were

Yardley claimed two of Luton's goals. first was a beauty and his second a remarkable one, as before the ball reached him it skidded the length of the cross-bar. Bryce obtained the per ing the Watiord backs by pretending to sheet and then calmly passing to Bryce to an open goal. M Nestry got the third Watford a consolation goal No. it was not Wattord's d hard, but be was a very weary

man before the

colours of

engaged.

end