A GLORIOUS VICTORY

LUTON TAKE THE GILT OFF THE SPURS

Thrilling Cup-Tie Struggle

By "CRUSADER")

LUTON TOWN 2
TOTTENHAM HOTSPUR Nii

TOTTENHAM HOTSPUR Nil LUTON. Harford : Kingham Mackey : Rean. McGinnigle, Fraser : Mills, Nelson, Tatt, Alderson, Roberts.

SPURS.—Nicholls; Felton, Whatley; Colquboun, Levene, Meads; Howe

O'Callaghan, Hunt, Hall, Evans, Referee,—Mr. G. C. Denton, Northamp-

NOW what about Wembley?" was the when it was known that Luton Town had to meet the Spurs in the F.A. Cup Competition. The best reply to the Jest may be the remark of Mr. Harold Wightman when he also heard the news. He whatever the opposition, a team that could go to force a re-play would to Oakwell and have reckoned with anywhere but was cru-tious enough to add. If we win this round we might easily have the bit of luck that would take us into of lick that would take us into the Semi-Final. As Semi-Finals are not played at Wembley, the modesty of his ambition may be judged, but the fact that the Town were able to cut a notch almost as good as that of Walsall when they met the Arsenal and chyeloped London in a thick fog proves that the possibility does not require a vivid stretch of imagination. It is not yet the time to think about Wembley, but hope is more often a virtue than a folly, and the Town will not lower their banner until beaten out of the competition. Saturday's game proved once more that in the Cup Competition the gap between the leaders of the First Division of the League and the wooden spoonists of the Third Division is narrowed considerably. if not brought to vanishing point. True Tottenham Hotspur are not even in the First Division, but are very close to the

top of the Second, and, if one may accept the judgment of critics who see First and Second Division sides regularly, are qualified for a place in the First.

WON ON MERIT

Be that as it may, they tried to play high-class football on Saturday, and let no man say they were defeated by poorer class. It would not be true. The Town won on their merits, and no amount of equivocation can deprive them of their reward. Treacherous ground, certainly, but better class should ground, certainly, but better than a better be emphasised on a ground such as that of Saturday, for it meant a lively ball, and odds in favour of the quicker side, as the Spurs are reckoned. The mere fact that the Spurs lost on a frozen ground at Manchester a week before was no excuse for surmising that they would lese on a similar ground at Luton Moreover, the ground conditions were such as to enable the Spurs to pick and choose men that would be able to take advantage of the conditions. Luton were not in that happy position. They had to use the material they thought best, and their range of choice was more limited than that of the Spurs. A few weeks ago the London Club were able to secure the transfer of a player at a big fee, and he was in the side Against that the Town found themselves deprived of the services of their best marksman. Such handleap as there was fell upon the Town, but they rose the services of their best superior to circumstance, and truly won on merit.

SPORTSMEN ALL

I am not stretching truth in saying that the Town were the better side on the day that mattered. Perhaps the Spurs would win at a second meeting, because they were not very much inferior. One writer stated that the Town players went into the game carring na, what happened to them, but the Spurs what happened to them, but the Spurs and so did the Loudoners, and the victory went to the side that succeeded in the business of making goals. The strength of the Spurs, and we could well believe it after seeing the line in action, was generally conceded to be in

th

S SHARPEN y man on the with great UP

tion ers.

in re e mi nal outwinal, Evans some regare country, hat at at at and e th

but