## **DEFENDERS IN THEIR** MOST RELENTLESS MOOD

# Success Was Deserved And Well Earned

By CHILTERN

TOTTENHAM HOTSPUR .. 0 LUTON TOWN ....

TOTTENHAM HUTSPUR.—Hooper; Ward, whatley; Burgess, Hitchins, Buckingham; Sargent, Hall (G. w.), Han (A. E.), Duncan, Tomkin.
LUTON 10WN.—Uoon; King, Dunsmore; Finlayson, Dreyer, Hoberts; Ca.roil, Reutern, Billington, Connelly, Stephenson.

Carroll, Reutern, Billington, Connelly, Stephenson.

Referee.—F. J. Lowe, Bristol.

This, I think, will be classed as Luton Towns sest performance of the season. They went to White Hart Lane, and smashed "Spurs' proud home record, a feat which most of the "cracks" of the Division had failed to Secondisks. cracks of the Division had falle eccomplish.

In doing so, they broke the F years hearts, too, and those who by too eager to cry "Lucky Luton end, received scant sympathy

By the way some people were talking frer the match, it would seem that spurs should have won by a substantial nargin, out they were mostly Londoners ho were sadly disappointed at their samts poor showing.

Make no mistake about this. Luton deserved the points. They beat their opponents at every phase of the game, and no success has bean more hardly earned.

There were narrow escapes and many nissed chances at the Luton end, I now, but what of the good fortune the Spurs' goal had at times?

The Town might easily have had a sigger lead, for Billington was deserately unlucky with two rousing shots, nd might well have done the "hat rick."

and might well nave done the trick."

Main honours in this great win must go the defence. They fought every inch of the way, and not for many weeks have I seen every defender in such a relentiess mood. Relentless is the right word for it.

They simply did not know what it was to be beaten, and went into tackles with all they had. They bustled and hustled the 'Spurs forwards right off their game, and the crowd did not like it.

ABOUT THOSE FREE KICKS
Several free kicks were awarded against the Town defenders; many were

SOUT THOSE FREE KICKS
Several free kicks were awarded ainst the Town defenders; many were served, some were not, but there was bring of a vicious nature, and they ere penalised mostly because in their gerness to get the ball away, they went is a little too far.

However, these rugged tactics incensed the crowd, and for some reason or nother, they gave Dunsmore "the bird" or more than half the match.

He was pulled up for a foul on Sargent, and then the booing began. It began very time the ball went near him afterards, and the left back deserves a becial note of praise for his coolness noter very trying conditions.

when very trying conditions.

WHEN DUNSMORE WAS SPOKEN TO
His offence did not warrant such treatment, but the crowd were further displeased when he and Hall (G. W.) had a little "spar" right in front of the main stand.

Dunsmore was spoken to by the referee, but Hall got off scot-free, and it was he who had begun the argument. That was one official decision I could not understand, and there were one or two more.

inderstand, and there will only a more.

The most obvious foul during the match was by Dreyer in the first half when he pulled Hall (A. E.) back by his jersey when he was in full cry for goal. Had not Dreyer committed the infringement, I think Hall might have scored, but that is not the type of tack. to see.

y have had some bearing



INDIVIDUALITY

Haircutting to sult YOU at—
GEORGE RIPPER'S
The Gentlemen's Hairdresser
63. STUART STREET. LUTON





the later treatment of Dunsmore, and I think it more than probable that the crowd got the two mixed up.

A lot has been made of the fouls, but I have seen as many in several matches this season, and against Luton, too. Some of the play of the Luton defenders was not too gentle, but after all, this is a man's game, and not a kindergarten exercise, and 'Spurs did not exactly treat the match as a practice outing either.

It was a tunical hard same between

exercise, and 'Spurs did not exactly treat the match as a practice outing either. It was a typical hard game between two neighbours, and, in a way it reminded me of the old struggles with Watford. It was hard graft all the time, with purple patches of grand football. DEFENCE PLAYED FOR SAFETY

The Luton defence was just grand, and what I liked most of all was the way thay played for sa'ety. If the ball came to them anywhere in the region of the goalmouth, and there was the slightest suspicion of danger, it was booted into the crowd.

Not very pretty tactics, some will say. No, but how effective they were, and how we have longed for something of the sort in previous matches.

If supporters will think back, they will remember occasions when goals have been thrown away because of a penchant among the defenders for dribbling, and hesitating in the danger zone.

This is no feature of the Town defence these days, and it certainly was not on Saturday. "Safety first" was the motto, and if the home supporters did not like it, they could not blame Luton, for the Spurs gave us a sample of real spoiling tactics earlier in the season.

SPURS GIVEN THEIR OWN

MEDICINE

One surprise I had, and that was the success with which the Town worked the offside trap. They beat their opponents at the game which they have practised so assiduously, and though I have no complete record of the number, I should think that 'Spurs were caught more often than were Luton.

Another thing that struck me very forcibly about the Luton display was the way the defenders covered up. There was rarely a gap of any description in defence, and this is one of the reasons why so many home attacks came to nought.

They simply could not break down the barrier, with the result that it was not often that they got within shooting distance. Added to this was the fact that their shooting was very poor, and they did miss some opportunities.

DUNCAN MADE MISS OF THE

MATCH

The most flagrant miss of all was by Duncan late in the second half. It followed a free kick taken by Ward, and placed high into the goalmouth. Coen came out to fist away, but missed the ball completely, and Duncan was left with an open goal.

The ball was running away towards the far post, but nevertheless it was a gift. Somehow or another, he hooked the ball over when he must have be

malf, there was very little to give the many Luton supporters a shock.

HALL (A. E.) SHOULD HAVE SCORED Hall should have scored on this occasion when he fastened on to a bad pass by Dreyer, and blazed over the top with only Coen to beat. 'Spurs had the because of offside.

Hall (G. W.) and Buckingham got in a couple of sizzling shots that Coen saved well, and there were two more from Hall (A. E.) that went over the top. These were the 'Spurs' best efforts, but they were not good enough.

On the other side of the scales was the wonderful shot by Billington in the first half that Hooper just managed to touch on to the bar, and then another in the second half when Readern put the ball across and the centre-forward's shot struck Hooper's legs.

LUTON SHOULD HAVE HAD A

shot struck Hooper's legs.

LUTON SHOULD HAVE HAD A
PENALTY

There were other close things, too, and there were few on the ground who did not think that Luton should have had a penalty in the first five minutes when Ward handled. To my mind, there was not an atom of doubt about the offence. The full back pulled the ball down as Stephenson tried to slip it past him.

him.

Appeals from the Town players went unheeded, as they did later in the game when Carroll had his legs kicked from under him as he was preparing to shoot. There was some doubt whether the incident happened in the penalty area.

de ou fe worl and worl and worl and worl and sinsid apurs' net, ome beautiful st half-hour, natch their d and morr than wer' al foo ir, ar attacl intel those

ľ

J

wai

rds had when t found g urs ne ey Sp s. uton ad

nerce p

### end the end the in the ing the the

RO BER r

whe up to and

to suc defe e first

II 111 ICE

und h usually ight-ba veral t left ar did

b wh the

0

ly

deal of trouble to the opposing defence. stumbling block, his tackling was deadly and his general work continues to and his kicking consistently good. Coen completed an unbeatable rearimprove. He deserved more than one goal for guard, and kept a clean sheet for the his persistency, and with any luck at all second week in succession, which is he would have had a couple. Although something unusual these days. He was at times he was playing something of a sure in all his work, and his sound sense of positioning enabled him to deal with lone hand, he gave Hitchins quite as everything that came his way. much as he could cope with. Stephenson had another splendid FORWARDS DID THEIR PART WELL match, and apart from his matchwinning goal, did many other things The forwards did not have so much

pair, and though Redfern is not yet back

that were above the average. The burly

were beautifully made, and he has come

into the team to stay.

to his best, were more effective.

Billington, as usual, caused a great

had a stranglehold on the middle of

the field, and did not venture far from

his own penalty area. Always a

limelight as the defence, but, neverthe-

most marked in Connelly. Still, they compared favourably with the 'Spurs'

less, they played their part admirably. The inside men, Redfern and Connelly, slid their share in defence, and they did work hard, too.

There was a tendency on the part of both to hang on too long, and it was the right sort of support. His centres