

Luton coast to easy 4-0 win

By ROGER DUCKWORTH

Luton 4 Workington 0

TWO magnificent goals by man-of-the-match Ray Whittaker were the highlights of Luton's 4-0 win over Workington.

Both teams were as programmed, and many Luton fans were looking forward to seeing right-winger John Ryan for the first time.

VERDICT

WHATEVER manager Allan Brown said to the Luton players at half-time left its mark. They switched the pressure on in the second half and began to look more like the side that had notched up ten goals in its last two matches.

Once again the forward line, which is full of talent, became masters of the situation. Both Allen and Buxton, who had had quiet first halves, began to show their skills.

The first half was a bit of a yawn for the Luton fans, who had expected great things after Luton had scored five goals in each of their last two completed matches.

In the first minute it looked as if they were going to get them when Alan Slough smashed a 60-second goal for Luton. But for the rest of the half Luton never lived up to this early promise.

Luton can perhaps be excused some of this early rustiness because of their lack of match practice, but the forwards did not look the same unit as in recent matches.

Whittaker was Luton's best forward, showing plenty of snap, and Slough was always

Bad weather has left Luton without a match for 17 days, and Ryan, who keeps out Graham French, has only had one home match since being signed from Wigan Athletic.

The Kenilworth Road pitch looked in surprisingly good condition, but it was quite soft on top.

In watery sunshine the match began four minutes late because of a late appearance by referee Mr Castle. Workington were first to show, but Brayton was well offside before he shot.

Despite their long lay-off from competitive football Luton set their fans alight by scoring in the first minute.

After a half-way line challenge by Allen, the ball went out to Whittaker, and Buxton and goalkeeper Ower went up for the ball together.

Menacing

It was only half cleared as the menacing SLOUGH ran in smartly and placed the ball accurately past Ower from near the penalty spot.

Workington were obviously upset by this early setback, and again Slough was allowed to go through, and his long, hard shot was deflected for a fruitless corner.

In the fifth minute referee Castle's attention was brought to some object picked up in the Workington goalmouth, and one's mind immediately went back to the night match against Southend, when a penknife was thrown.

But whatever the object was, Mr Castle took little notice and threw it off the pitch.

Ryan was soon to show, and



Workington 'keeper, Ower, gets up high to cut out this cross during a Luton raid.

clever footwork on the right wing before Slough's centre, which almost found Allen, was cleared.

Let off

Moore and Jardine appeared to have switched defensive positions, and a clever piece of football between the two almost put Rioch away.

Workington had an 11th minute let-off when a smart attack between Allen, Buxton and Whittaker came to nothing when Allen's centre was caught by Ower.

A snap shot from winger Trail bought an alert save from the diving Read, and as the ball was cleared Buxton jiggled through, rounded a fullback, and from a narrow angle shot left footed against a post.

Luton's best move so far came from the left. Whittaker, who was having a lively afternoon, got his centre in from an awkward angle, and Allen was unlucky as he tried to deflect the ball with his back to the goal.

Rioch broke through the middle at full speed, got a peach of a pass out to Buxton, who had shrewdly moved onto the right wing, and then was only just beaten in the air to Buxton's return.

Read was drawn out of position by Brayton, and was only able to palm his centre dangerously across the face of the goal. But, Naugan was

Mr Gurney said that if the bus overtime ban continued, he would press for a further

The moves followed app- normally banned. tolerated in places where it was

A Corporation spokesman said police would give "sym- pathy and consideration" to

Luton shoppers were being allowed special parking facilities this afternoon to combat

Luton shoppers were being allowed special parking facilities this afternoon to combat

Luton shoppers were being allowed special parking facilities this afternoon to combat

Luton shoppers were being allowed special parking facilities this afternoon to combat

Luton shoppers were being allowed special parking facilities this afternoon to combat

Luton shoppers were being allowed special parking facilities this afternoon to combat

Luton shoppers were being allowed special parking facilities this afternoon to combat

Luton shoppers were being allowed special parking facilities this afternoon to combat

Luton must have had a severe talking to during the interval, for they went straight into a speedy attack, and Allen and Buxton combined well before the big centre forward was just beaten to a return pass.

Branston was carrying a small tube of smelling salts, from which he kept taking a sniff.

Rioch made a dazzling run, beating three men, before he was finally robbed only a dozen yards from goal.

Luton came back into things with a sparkling goal in the 52nd minute.

Buxton collected the ball out on the touchline in his own half, beat his man, and made a brilliant run right into the penalty area before slipping a short pass to WHITTAKER, who really hammered it into the net.

Fight

Luton should have gone further ahead when Slough made a solo breakaway and ran the length of the field with the ball before messing up his centre.

Workington were still showing some fight, and a low Trail cross brought a good diving save from Read.

At the other end, Whittaker made another fast break, and Ower did well to cut out his centre that was destined for Buxton's head.

Whittaker enhanced his reputation as a striking winger with another fine goal in the 65th minute. He collected a neat pass from Allen on the left, and cut in calmly to thump it past Ower from an awkward angle.

Luton had really settled down, and this second half performance looked more like the goal-hungry displays of recent weeks.

The Luton forwards created another opening, when Rioch made a good tackle and found

Mr Furr, described by his counsel, Mr Colin Fawcett, as

Mr Furr, described by his counsel, Mr Colin Fawcett, as

Mr Furr, described by his counsel, Mr Colin Fawcett, as

Mr Furr, described by his counsel, Mr Colin Fawcett, as

Mr Furr, described by his counsel, Mr Colin Fawcett, as

Mr Furr, described by his counsel, Mr Colin Fawcett, as

Mr Furr, described by his counsel, Mr Colin Fawcett, as

JOAN REGAN appearing TONIGHT
Luton 29343
CASINO OPEN 9.30pm
Wining, Dining and Dancing, 8.30pm to 2am
6 nights.
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 13 for
The well known TV personality
from "Stars and Garters"
KIM CORDELL
Cabaret with
54 BUTE STREET, LUTON
Agency Club



Was his face red

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-

ness on the consumer's part.

None of these cases was the result of negligence or in-

efficiency on the part of Eastern Electricity's staff.

The council felt that, in most cases, electrical accidents were due mainly to do-it-yourself workmanship or to careless-